

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/899,293	07/06/2001	Young-Il Kim	P56339	7669
7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Robert E. Bushnell			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
Suite 300 1522 K Street N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-1202			2131	
-			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/899,293	KIM, YOUNG-IL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to the response received on September 15,
 Claims 1-21 were originally received for consideration. No claims were
 amended, cancelled or added. Claims 1-21 are currently being considered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on September 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because:

Regarding claim 1, the applicant argues that the CPA, Holloway (U.S. Patent 5,805,801) does not teach "detecting, in an address table, access vectors corresponding to the MAC destination and source addresses." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA states that "in the detection phase, the managed hub compares the MAC addresses on each port against a list of authorized MAC addresses" (column 3 lines 37-40). The MAC addresses (access vectors) are detected and compared against a list of MAC addresses to see if the addresses are authorized. Therefore, the MAC addresses are in an address table, and the access vectors (MAC addresses) are authorized during a detection phase. Furthermore, regarding claim 2, the applicant argues that the CPA does not teach "an anti-hacker table comprising a corresponding host identification address." This argument is not found persuasive. The IP addresses that can be

Art Unit: 2131

present in the AAL (column 17 lines 9-29), can be interpreted as host identification addresses, as these addresses do uniquely define a host. Furthermore, the applicant argues that the CPA does not teach a "plurality of server nodes." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA states that a plurality of stations are attached to a hub or the network. These stations can be servers or clients as both are connected the same way to the network or hub. The applicant argues that the CPA does not teach "storing the configured address entry for said received MAC source address in said address table when it is determined that said new MAC address is not stored in said anti-hacker table." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA states that the AAL can use "a mechanism in the hardware to capture the addresses of the stations that are attached to the ports of a hub" (column 7 lines 27-33). This is done dynamically so that if a new station is attached, it will be discovered and added to the table. Regarding claim 3, the applicant argues that the CPA does not teach "modifying an access vector included in the configured address entry for said new MAC source address, to set security" and "storing the configured address entry including the modified access vector for said new MAC source address in said address table." The CPA discloses "a mechanism in the hardware to capture the addresses of the stations that are attached to the ports of a hub" (column 7 lines 27-33). This dynamically adds or deletes clients from the table depending on if the clients are detected or not. Regarding claim 4, the applicant argues that the CPA does not teach "an address table storing registered MAC addresses, source access vectors corresponding to source MAC addresses of said registered MAC addresses and destination access vectors corresponding to destination MAC addresses

Application/Control Number: 09/899,293 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

of said registered MAC addresses." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA discloses "a mechanism in the hardware to capture the addresses of the stations that are attached to the ports of a hub" (column 7 lines 27-33). This dynamically adds or deletes clients from the table depending on if the clients are detected or not. These addresses are stored in a table and when there is a discrepancy in the table, a notification is sent (column 7 lines 55-60). The applicant further argues that the CPA does not teach a "port table and an address table." This argument is not found persuasive as the CPA discloses "each entry in the AAL, consists of two fields: port number and an authorized address." Therefore it is asserted that the CPA does teach the above limitations and the rejection in the previous Office action is respectfully maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holloway et al. US (5,805,801) in view of Sofer et al. US (5,489,896),

Art Unit: 2131

As per claims 1 and 19: Holloway discloses A MAC (media access control) address based communication restricting method (Col 3, lines 15-16) comprising the steps of: Receiving packet data upon request of communication through at least one port of a plurality of ports of an Ethernet switch (Coll 6, lines 27-30); Holloway teaches obtaining the destination MAC addresses through the discovery phase (item 145 of FIG. 10 and item 131 of FIG 11) but Holloway doesn't explicitly teach Reading a MAC destination address and a MAC source address included in the received packet data. However Sofer discloses a MAC address-based communication access control method (Col 3, lines 49-52). Where he teaches the using of a MAC address stripper to extract the source and destination MAC addresses from a packet Col 4, lines 13-22). therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holloway's invention with the teachings of Sofer to include a MAC stripper to extract the MAC destination and source addresses from the received packets. One would be motivated to do so in order to provide the system with ability to determine where did the packet come form and where the packet is headed to and if it's headed to a protected destination. Detecting In an address table, access vectors corresponding to the MAC destination and source address (FIG 6 and Col 9, Lines 49-51 with Col 3, lines 7-9! Holloway teaches using combination of data structures AAL (access authorization List) and ICD (interconnected device list) the ICD will contain information on connected MAC addresses to the specific Managed hub while the AAL will contain the security access control information for each device. The combination of those two will perform the same function as the address table) Denying access if the

Art Unit: 2131

access vectors of the MAC destination and source addresses are not matched (Col 3, Lines 9-11; if the managed hub detects an unauthorized station connecting to the LAN the hub disables the port disabling the port on the hub will perform the step of denying access).

As per claims 2 and 20: Holloway teaches the system further comprising steps of: Configuring an anti-hacker table comprising information pertaining to a plurality of client nodes and a plurality of server nodes of a network, wherein each client node is identified by a corresponding MAC address, a corresponding host identification and a corresponding IP (Internet protocol) address, and each server node is identified by a corresponding MAC address, a corresponding host identification and a corresponding IP (Internet protocol) address; (Col 7, Lines 7-13 and FIG 7; Holloway method teaches the AAL table and Breach list table with no IP address but he also teaches in Col 17 lines 15-17 that the list can be extended to contain the IP address) Determining whether the received MAC source address is stored in said address table (item 132 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 14-16); configuring an address entry for said received MAC source address when it is determined that said MAC source address is not stored in said address table and identifying said received MAC source address as a new MAC source address (item 135 of FIG 11, Col 11 lines 21-29, item 137 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 31-34); Determining whether said new MAC source address is stored in said anti-hacker table (item 220 of FIG 12 and Col 11, lines 62-64); and Storing the configured address entry for said received MAC source address in said address table when it is determined

Art Unit: 2131

that said new MAC source address is not stored in said anti-hacker table (item 265 of

FIG 12 and Col 12 lines 17-23).

Asp per claims 3,18 and 21: Holloway teaches the system further comprising: Adding a port number, corresponding to the port through which said packet data was received, to a storage area corresponding to said new MAC source address in said antihacker table (item 265 of FIG 12 and Col 12 lines 17-20); Modifying an access vector included in said configured address entry for said new MAC source address, to set security (item 320 of FIG 13 and Col 13 lines 34-36 / setting the filter in Holloway system perform the task of setting security by defining which MAC addresses are allowed or denied access to the destination MAC addresses); and

Storing the configured address entry including the modified access for said new Mac source address in said address table (items 320,322 of FIG 13 and lines 34-41 / setting up the filter and checking if the filter has been applied, implies that the filter containing the MAC address is stored on the device memory).

Regarding claim 4: Holloway discloses a packet switch restricting MAC (media access control) address-based communication, comprising: A host providing overall control to the packet switch and executing commands input to the packet switch; (Col 5, lines 13-19) At least one MAC port performing MAC control operations and outputting transmit/receive command of a data packet; (Col 4, lines 67 through Col 5, lines line 1) A transmission/reception controller receiving said transmit/receive command; (Col 5,

Art Unit: 2131

lines 7-12) A data exchange controlled by said transmission/reception controller, said data exchange establishes paths of data and control serials between the host, the MAC port and a packet memory; (Col 5, lines 2-12) Said packet memory storing received data packets, said packet memory including a port table and an address table; (FIG 6 and Col 9, Lines 49-51 with Col 3, lines 7-9) said port table storing information about a current status, of the packet switch, port attributes and enable/disable, and packet reception completion of each MAC port; (Col 11, lines 44-50) and said address table storing registered MAC addresses, destination access vectors corresponding to destination MAC addresses of said registered MAC addresses. (FIG 6 and Col 9, Lines 49-51 with Col 3, lines 7-9 I Holloway teaches using combination of data structures AAL (access authorization List) and ICD (interconnected device list) the ICD will contain information on connected MAC addresses to the specific Managed hub while the AAL will contain the security access control information for each device) but he doesn't disclose reading the source MAC address included in the received data packet, and detecting the source access vector corresponding to the source MAC address and denying the requested communication if the source access vector and the destination access vector do not match. However Sofer discloses a MAC addressbased communication access control method (Col 3, lines 49-52). Where he teaches reading the source and destination MAC addresses included in the received data packet, and detecting the destination access vector corresponding to the source MAC address and denying the requested communication if the destination access vector and the source access vector do not match(Col 4, lines 14-31). Therefore it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2131

to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holloway's invention with the teachings of Sofer to read the source MAC address as well as the destination MAC address before allowing or denying communication. One would be motivated to do so in order to provide the system with more flexibility by allowing the system to set up rules based not just on the destination of the packets but on the source the packet originated from as well consequently enabling the system to restrict communication that are known to be from an offending source addresses.

Regarding claim 5: The packet switch as set forth in claim 4, said packet memory including further a packet descriptor storing information about each packet stored in the packet memory. (Col 5, lines 3-12)

Regarding claim 6: The packet switch as set forth in claim 5, wherein said packet information comprises packet connection information. (Col 5, lines 21-49)

Regarding claim 7: The packet switch as set forth in claim 4, further comprising a search memory storing information by which a MAC port, corresponding to the destination MAC address of a received data packet, is determined for data packet output. (Col 8, lines 2-12)

Regarding claim 8: the packet switch as set forth in claim 7, wherein said transmission/reception controller temporarily stores received data packets, accesses

Art Unit: 2131

said search memory, checks whether the destination MAC address in a header of the received data packet has been registered, locates where the registered destination MAC address is stored in the address table, and determines a MAC port through which the received data packet is to be output. (Col 8, lines 2-12 and Col 11, lines 55-61)

Regarding claim 9: The packet switch as set forth in claim 4, wherein said host includes an anti-hacker table comprising information pertaining to a plurality of client nodes and a plurality of server nodes of a network, wherein each client node is identified by a corresponding MAC address, a corresponding host identification and a corresponding IP (Internet protocol) address, and each server node is identified by a corresponding MAC address, a corresponding host identification and a corresponding IP (Internet protocol) address. (Col 7, Lines 7-13 and FIG 7; Holloway method teaches the AAL table and Breach list table with no IP address but he also teaches in Col 17 lines 15-17 that the list can be extended to contain the IP address)

Regarding claim 10: Holloway discloses the packet switch as set forth in claim 4, wherein said transmission/reception controller receives a data packet upon request of communication through the MAC port reads the destination MAC address and detects the destination access vector corresponding to the destination MAC address and denies the requested communication based on the destination access vector but he doesn't disclose reading the source MAC address included in the received data packet, and detecting the destination access vector corresponding to the source MAC address and

Art Unit: 2131

denying the requested communication if the destination access vector and the source access vector do not match. However Sofer discloses a MAC address-based communication access control method (Col 3, lines 49-52). Where he teaches reading the source and destination MAC addresses included in the received data packet, and detecting the destination access vector corresponding to the source MAC address and denying the requested communication if the destination access vector and the source access vector do not match(Cal 4, lines 14-31). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holloway's invention with the teachings of, Sofer to read the source MAC address as well as the destination MAC address before allowing or denying communication. One would be motivated to do so in order to provide the system with more flexibility by allowing the system to set up rules based not just on the destination of the packets but on the source the packet originated from as well consequently enabling the system to restrict communication that are known to be from an offending source addresses.

Regarding claim 11: (new) The packet switch as set forth in claim 10, wherein said transmission/reception controller determines whether the received source MAC address is stored in said address table (item 132 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 14-16), configures an address entry for said received source MAC address when it is determined that said source MAC address is not stored in said address table and identities said received source MAC address as a new source MAC address. (item 135 of FIG 11, Col 11 lines 21-29, item 137 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 31-34);

Art Unit: 2131

Regarding claim 12: (new) The packet switch as set forth in claim 11, wherein said transmission/reception controller determines whether said new source MAC address is stored in said anti-hacker table (item 220 of FIG 12 and Col 11, lines 62-64), and stores the configured address entry for said received source MAC address in said address table when it is determined that said new source MAC address is not stored in said anti-hacker table. (item 265 of FIG 12 and Cot 12 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 13: (new) The packet switch as set forth in claim 11; wherein said transmission/reception controller adds a port number, corresponding to the port through which said packet data was received, to a storage area corresponding to said new MAC source address in said anti-hacker table (item 265 of FIG 12 and Col 12 lines 17-20); Modifying an access vector included in said configured address entry for said new MAC source address, to set security (item 320 of FIG 13 and Col 13 lines 34-36 / setting the filter in Holloway system perform the task of setting security by defining which MAC addresses are allowed or denied access to the destination MAC addresses); and Storing the configured address entry including the modified access for said new Mac source address in said address table (items 320,322 of FIG 13 and lines 34-41 / setting up the filter and checking if the filter has been applied, implies that the filter containing the MAC address is stored on the device memory).

Art Unit: 2131

Regarding claim 14: Holloway discloses a method of restricting MAC (media access control) address-based communication through packet switch, said method comprising steps of: storing destination MAC addresses in an address table; (Col 3, line 7-9) storing destination access vectors in said address table, said destination access vectors respectively corresponding to said destination MAC addresses; (Col 9, lines 49-51 and Col 11, lines 44-50) comparing, upon receipt of a data packet, one of said destination access vectors corresponding to a destination MAC address received in said header of said data packet; (Col 8, lines 2-12) and preventing said MAC address-based communication when the compared source access vector does not match the destination access vector. (Col 3, Lines 9-11) but he doesn't disclose using the source MAC address in allowing or preventing communication based on the source address access vector. However Sofer discloses a MAC address-based communication access control method (Col 3, lines 49-52). Where he teaches reading the source and destination MAC addresses included in the received data packet, and detecting the destination access vector corresponding to the source MAC address and denying the requested communication if the destination access vector and the source access vector do not match(Col 4, lines 14-31). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holloway's invention with the teachings of Sofer to read the source MAC address as well as the destination MAC address before allowing or denying communication. One would be motivated to do so in order to provide the system with more flexibility by allowing the system to set up rules based not just on the destination of the packets but on the source the packet originated

Art Unit: 2131

from as well consequently enabling the system to restrict communication that are known to be from an offending source addresses.

Regarding claim 15: Holloway discloses the method as set forth in claim 14, said comparing step comprising the steps of: Determining whether the received MAC source address is stored in said address table (item 132 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 14-16); configuring an address entry for said received MAC source address when it is determined that said MAC source address is not stored in said address table and identifying said received MAC source address as a new MAC source address (item 135 of FIG 11, Col 11 lines 21-29, item 137 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 31-34); Determining whether said new MAC source address is stored in said a address table (item 220 of FIG 12 and Col 11, lines 62-64); when it is determined that said source MAC address and said destination MAC address are stored in said address table, reading the source access vectors corresponding to said source MAC address and the destination access vectors corresponding to a destination MAC address from said address table. (Col 11, lines 46-50)

Regarding claim 16: Holloway discloses the method as set forth in claim 15, further comprising a step of: Configuring an anti-hacker table comprising information pertaining to a plurality of client nodes and a plurality of server nodes of a network, wherein each client node is identified by a corresponding MAC address, a corresponding host identification and a corresponding IP (Internet protocol) address, and each server node

Art Unit: 2131

reactor is control real fact. 00/000,20

is identified by a corresponding MAC address, a corresponding host identification and a corresponding IP (Internet protocol) address; (Col 7, Lines 7-13 and FIG 7; Holloway method teaches the AAL table and Breach list table with no IP address but he also teaches in Col 17 lines 15-17 that the list can be extended to contain the IP address)

Regarding claim 17: Holloway discloses the method as set forth in claim 16, further comprising steps of: Determining whether the received MAC source address is stored in said address table (item 132 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 14-16); configuring an address entry for said received MAC source address when it is determined that said MAC source address is not stored in said address table and identifying said received MAC source address as a new MAC source address (item 135 of FIG 11, Col 11 lines 21-29, item 137 of FIG 11 and Col 11 lines 31-34); Determining whether said new MAC source address is stored in said anti-hacker table (item 220 of FIG 12 and Col 11, lines 62-64); and Storing the configured address entry for said received MAC source address in said address table when it is determined that said new MAC source address is not stored in said anti-hacker table (item 265 of FIG 12 and Col 12 lines 17-23).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/899,293 Page 16

Art Unit: 2131

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 11/23/05 AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2100